



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/613,761

07/02/2003

Lishan Aklog

FLEX-001

7167

35557

7590

11/02/2007

CHRIS A. CASEIRO

VERRILL DANA, LLP

ONE PORTLAND SQUARE

PORTLAND, ME 04112-0586

EXAMINER

PREBILIC, PAUL B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3774

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/02/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,761	AKLOG, LISHAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul B. Prebilic	3774	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul B. Prebilic.

(3) Lishan Aglog.

(2) Chris Caseiro.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: The amendment filed October 31, 2007 was discussed.

Claim(s) discussed: the independent claims in general.


Identification of prior art discussed: Tremulis and Streeter as applied in the Final Office action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**Paul B. Prebilic**  
**Primary Examiner**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Aglog explained that the Tremulis and Streeter devices do not extend to the coaption surfaces of the prolapsed leaflet and do not provide a string-like netting as now claimed. Mr. Caseiro pointed out that the netting must extent across the ring. The Examiner said that he had not had time to fully examine the claims but thought that the use of "string-like" make the claim scope indefinite. He also thought that the prior art may read on at least the coaption surfaces be contacted with the features of Tremulis in Figure 13B. Mr. Caseiro requested that the Examiner call him to propose an amendment, if needed, to put the case in condition for allowance once the amendment is fully considered .